

February 3, 2020

CA Public Utilities Commission  
Energy Division  
Attention: Tariff Unit  
505 Van Ness Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102-3298



### **MCE Advice Letter 40-E**

#### **Re: Rebates that Exceed Measure Costs in MCE’s 2020 Annual Budget Advice Letter**

Pursuant to the disposition letter (“Disposition”) of Marin Clean Energy’s (“MCE”) 2020 Annual Budget Advice Letter<sup>1</sup> (“2020 ABAL”) from December 20, 2019, MCE hereby provides the rationale for including rebates that exceed measure costs under the 2020 ABAL.

#### **Tier Designation**

This Advice Letter (“AL”) has a Tier 1 designation pursuant to the Disposition of the 2020 ABAL.

#### **Effective Date**

Pursuant to General Order 96-B, MCE respectfully requests that this Tier 1 AL become effective by February 4, 2020.

#### **Background**

MCE filed the 2020 ABAL on September 3, 2019. On September 23, 2019, the Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission (“Cal Advocates”) filed a protest of MCE’s 2020 ABAL which included several recommendations regarding MCE’s and other Program Administrators’ (“PA”) ABAL filings.

In its protest, Cal Advocates identifies several measure where rebates exceed the cost of the measure and argues that the forecasted rebate level and measure costs may contribute to an overly optimistic forecast of MCE’s portfolio results.<sup>2</sup> The Disposition directed MCE make corrections to incentive and measure costs or provide a rationale for the rebate amount within 45 days.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> MCE Advice Letter 37-E.

<sup>2</sup> Cal Advocates Protest at 39-40.

<sup>3</sup> See Disposition of MCE’s ABAL at 7.

## **Purpose**

The purpose of this advice letter filing is for MCE to provide a rationale for including rebates that exceed measure in the 2020 ABAL filing.

## **Rationale for Including Rebates that Exceed Measure Costs**

The California Public Utilities Commission (“Commission”) acknowledges “that there may be limited program design purposes where the cash rebate to the customer exceeds measure installation costs.”<sup>4</sup> A primary justification for MCE’s Strategic Energy Management (“SEM”) programs is that they support the low- and no-cost measures and savings opportunities, where incentives are likely to exceed measure costs.

While the Total Resource Cost Test (“TRC”) is the primary measure of energy efficiency portfolio cost-effectiveness, the Dual Test is the appropriate means to evaluate MCE’s portfolio and address Cal Advocates’ incentive concerns. California adopted the Dual Test in its policy rules to address these types of circumstances and to ensure that Program Administrators (“PAs”) are not overspending on incentives to achieve overly optimistic TRC results.<sup>5</sup> If MCE proposed disproportionate incentive amounts, its portfolio would pass the TRC test, but fail the Program Administrator Cost (“PAC”) test. MCE’s 2020 portfolio passed both tests of cost-effectiveness.

### **Dual Cost-Effectiveness Test**

The Commission allows for incentives to exceed measure costs where justified. In such instances, the TRC and the PAC tests are applied together as the Dual Test.<sup>6</sup> This is because incentives are not explicitly accounted for in the TRC calculation. The TRC test compares the benefits to society as a whole with the participant’s cost of installing the measure plus the cost of energy efficiency program administration (non-incentive costs).<sup>7</sup> The PAC test compares the PA’s avoided cost benefits with energy efficiency expenditures (incentives plus administrative costs).<sup>8</sup> In other words, the PAC should be evaluated along with the TRC because the primary purpose of the PAC test in such instances is to ensure that customer incentives are limited while not radically altering the results of the TRC test with high net benefits.<sup>9</sup> MCE’s 2020 portfolio meets the additional Dual Test requirement to ensure incentives will not be disproportionately spent within its portfolio.

---

<sup>4</sup> See D.06-06-063 at 72.

<sup>5</sup> See D.06-06-063 at 72.

<sup>6</sup> See Energy Efficiency Policy Manual at 18.

<sup>7</sup> See California Standard Practice Manual at 23.

<sup>8</sup> See California Standard Practice Manual at 23.

<sup>9</sup> See D.06-06-063 at 72.

## **Strategic Energy Management Overview**

MCE's SEM offering is expected to have low measure costs with high savings and reasonable incentives. MCE includes a cohort-style SEM offering in its nonresidential program portfolio, consisting of a holistic, whole facility approach that leverages pre- and post-participation energy model comparisons to measure savings. SEM engagement in California requires a two-year customer commitment, which involves training workshops, facility audits, data-sharing, and measurement and verification activities.<sup>10</sup>

While capital projects may be identified and completed over the course of a SEM engagement, traditional custom or deemed energy efficiency projects are treated separately and are excluded from the SEM energy models. Conversely, MCE's SEM is specifically focused on low- or no-cost projects, in the identification of behavioral, retro-commissioning or operational ("BRO") opportunities.

These opportunities are identified in close collaboration with participants, and are documented in the Opportunity Register which is developed for each participant, per the California Industrial SEM Design Guide.<sup>11</sup> Level of effort within an Opportunity Register is documented in a consistent manner, assigning rankings to measures, used in conjunction with an associated estimate of hours and capital costs required to complete the recommendations to estimate projects costs. In short, measure costs are significantly lower under SEM programs than they are under custom, deemed, or upstream programs, since there may be no equipment purchase associated with a measure at all.

For the reasons aforementioned, MCE believes that SEM and other program designs focused on realizing low-cost savings opportunities that may otherwise be stranded represent the type of exception that the CPUC had in mind in D.06-06-063.

### **Conclusion**

MCE respectfully provides the rationale for including rebates that exceed measure costs under its 2020 ABAL.

### **Notice**

A copy of this AL is being served on the official Commission service lists for Rulemaking R.13-11-005.

For changes to these service lists, please contact the Commission's Process Office at (415) 703-2021 or by electronic mail at [Process\\_Office@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov).

---

<sup>10</sup> See California Industrial SEM Design Guide at 4.

<sup>11</sup> See California Industrial SEM Design Guide at 66.

## **Protests**

Anyone wishing to protest this advice filing may do so by letter via U.S. Mail, facsimile, or electronically, any of which must be received no later than 20 days after the date of this advice filing. Protests should be mailed to:

CPUC, Energy Division  
Attention: Tariff Unit  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Email: [EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov)

Copies should also be mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room 4004 (same address as above).

In addition, protests and all other correspondence regarding this AL should also be sent by letter and transmitted electronically to the attention of:

Jana Kopyciok-Lande  
Senior Policy Analyst  
MARIN CLEAN ENERGY  
1125 Tamalpais Ave.  
San Rafael, CA 94901  
Phone: (415) 464-6044  
Facsimile: (415) 459-8095  
[jkopyciok-lande@mceCleanEnergy.org](mailto:jkopyciok-lande@mceCleanEnergy.org)

Alice Havenar-Daughton  
Director of Customer Programs  
MARIN CLEAN ENERGY  
1125 Tamalpais Ave.  
San Rafael, CA 94901  
Phone: (415) 464-6030  
Facsimile: (415) 459-8095  
[ahavenar-daughton@mceCleanEnergy.org](mailto:ahavenar-daughton@mceCleanEnergy.org)

There are no restrictions on who may file a protest, but the protest shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is based and shall be submitted expeditiously.

## **Correspondence**

For questions, please contact Jana Kopyciok-Lande at (415) 464-6044 or by electronic mail at [jkopyciok-lande@mceCleanEnergy.org](mailto:jkopyciok-lande@mceCleanEnergy.org).

/s/ Jana Kopyciok-Lande

Jana Kopyciok-Lande  
Senior Policy Analyst  
MARIN CLEAN ENERGY

cc: Service List: R.13-11-005



# ADVICE LETTER SUMMARY

## ENERGY UTILITY



MUST BE COMPLETED BY UTILITY (Attach additional pages as needed)

Company name/CPUC Utility No.:

Utility type:

ELC       GAS       WATER  
 PLC       HEAT

Contact Person:

Phone #:  
E-mail:  
E-mail Disposition Notice to:

EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE

ELC = Electric      GAS = Gas      WATER = Water  
PLC = Pipeline      HEAT = Heat

(Date Submitted / Received Stamp by CPUC)

Advice Letter (AL) #:

Tier Designation:

Subject of AL:

Keywords (choose from CPUC listing):

AL Type:  Monthly     Quarterly     Annual     One-Time     Other:

If AL submitted in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #:

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL:

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL:

Confidential treatment requested?  Yes     No

If yes, specification of confidential information:

Confidential information will be made available to appropriate parties who execute a nondisclosure agreement. Name and contact information to request nondisclosure agreement/ access to confidential information:

Resolution required?  Yes     No

Requested effective date:

No. of tariff sheets:

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%):

Estimated system average rate effect (%):

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

Tariff schedules affected:

Service affected and changes proposed<sup>1</sup>:

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets:

<sup>1</sup>Discuss in AL if more space is needed.

**Protests and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this submittal, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:**

CPUC, Energy Division  
Attention: Tariff Unit  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Email: [EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov)

Name:  
Title:  
Utility Name:  
Address:  
City:  
State: Zip:  
Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx:  
Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx:  
Email:

Name:  
Title:  
Utility Name:  
Address:  
City:  
State: Zip:  
Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx:  
Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx:  
Email:

## ENERGY Advice Letter Keywords

Affiliate	Direct Access	Preliminary Statement
Agreements	Disconnect Service	Procurement
Agriculture	ECAC / Energy Cost Adjustment	Qualifying Facility
Avoided Cost	EOR / Enhanced Oil Recovery	Rebates
Balancing Account	Energy Charge	Refunds
Baseline	Energy Efficiency	Reliability
Bilingual	Establish Service	Re-MAT/Bio-MAT
Billings	Expand Service Area	Revenue Allocation
Bioenergy	Forms	Rule 21
Brokerage Fees	Franchise Fee / User Tax	Rules
CARE	G.O. 131-D	Section 851
CPUC Reimbursement Fee	GRC / General Rate Case	Self Generation
Capacity	Hazardous Waste	Service Area Map
Cogeneration	Increase Rates	Service Outage
Compliance	Interruptible Service	Solar
Conditions of Service	Interutility Transportation	Standby Service
Connection	LIEE / Low-Income Energy Efficiency	Storage
Conservation	LIRA / Low-Income Ratepayer Assistance	Street Lights
Consolidate Tariffs	Late Payment Charge	Surcharges
Contracts	Line Extensions	Tariffs
Core	Memorandum Account	Taxes
Credit	Metered Energy Efficiency	Text Changes
Curtable Service	Metering	Transformer
Customer Charge	Mobile Home Parks	Transition Cost
Customer Owned Generation	Name Change	Transmission Lines
Decrease Rates	Non-Core	Transportation Electrification
Demand Charge	Non-firm Service Contracts	Transportation Rates
Demand Side Fund	Nuclear	Undergrounding
Demand Side Management	Oil Pipelines	Voltage Discount
Demand Side Response	PBR / Performance Based Ratemaking	Wind Power
Deposits	Portfolio	Withdrawal of Service
Depreciation	Power Lines	